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#### Abstract

A variety of ammosamide B analogues have been synthesized and evaluated as inhibitors of quinone reductase 2 (QR2). The potencies of the resulting series of QR2 inhibitors range from 4.1 to $25,200 \mathrm{nM}$. The data provide insight into the structural parameters necessary for QR 2 inhibitory activity. The natural product ammosamide $B$ proved to be a potent QR2 inhibitor, and the potencies of the analogues generally decreased as their structures became more distinct from that of ammosamide B. Methylation of the 8 -amino group of ammosamide $B$ was an exception, resulting in an increase in quinone reductase 2 inhibitory activity from an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 61 nM to $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 4.1 \mathrm{nM}$.




Quinone Reductase $2 \mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.0041 \pm 0.0002 \mu \mathrm{M}$

## INTRODUCTION

Ammosamides A-C are metabolites isolated from the marine Streptomyces strain CNR-698. ${ }^{1-3}$ All three natural products are thought to modulate tubulin and actin dynamics through myosin binding. ${ }^{2,4}$ The administration of a fluorescent ammosamide B conjugate to HCT-116 cells results in the depolymerization of microtubules and an increase in actin filaments, and histological staining is consistent with the binding of the conjugate to several myosin families. ${ }^{4}$


Ammosamide A (1, X = S) Ammosamide $B(2, X=O)$


Ammosamide C (3)

Two conceptually distinct syntheses of ammosamide B have recently been reported. ${ }^{3,5}$ Our synthesis relies on the condensation of the diprotected 1,3,4,6-tetraaminobenzene derivative 4 with the di(methylester) of 2-ketoglutaconic acid (5) to produce the ammosamide framework 6 as the key step (Scheme 1). ${ }^{5}$ As reported in the present communication, this synthesis has proven to be quite short and flexible, allowing the production of a focused library of ammosamide congeners that have been evaluated as inhibitors of quinone reductase 2 .

X-ray crystallographic-assisted dereplication methods have revealed that the ammosamides have potent quinone reductase

Scheme 1. Approach to the Synthesis of the Ammosamides


6
2 (QR2) inhibitory activity. ${ }^{6}$ The FAD-dependent flavoenzyme QR2 catalyzes the reduction of quinones by reduced $N$-alkyland $N$-ribosylnicotinamides. ${ }^{7}$ QR2 is capable of transforming some quinone substrates into highly reactive species that damage cells. ${ }^{7-9}$ Inhibition of QR2 could therefore conceivably protect cells from chemical damage. ${ }^{10}$ A number of QR2 inhibitors have been reported, ${ }^{11-20}$ and the structures of QR2 in complex with a number of inhibitors have been determined

[^0]Scheme 2. Synthesis of Ammosamide Analogues 9-16 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) benzyl chloroformate, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ room temperature ( 24 h ); (b) compound 5, PTSA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(24 \mathrm{~h})$; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, room temperature ( 1 h ); (d) $\mathrm{NCS}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~h})$; (e) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, THF, room temperature ( 24 h ); (f) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DMAP}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temperature $(2 \mathrm{~h}) ;(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{DMF}$, room temperature $(24 \mathrm{~h})$.
by X-ray crystallography. ${ }^{11,21-26}$ The present study was motivated by the idea that novel and potent QR2 inhibitors could be generated based on the structures of the ammosamides and that these inhibitors could be possibly be of value as cancer chemopreventive agents.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Ammosamide Analogues. Our synthesis of ammosamide B provided sufficient material for evaluation of its biological properties, and it also enabled the synthesis of an array of structural analogues of value in the investigation of structure-activity relationships. ${ }^{27}$ As outlined in Scheme 2, the substituted quinoline 9 was obtained by monoprotection of 7 using benzyl chloroformate followed by condensation of the product 8 with compound 5. Quinoline 9 proved to be a versatile intermediate that could be converted to a number of derivatives. Hydrogenation of quinoline 9 at 30 psi for 1 h afforded the free amine 10, which reacted with $N$ chlorosuccinimide (NCS) to afford the dichloroquinoline 11. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with $30 \%$ ammonium hydroxide in THF at room temperature afforded C-2 amide 12, while acetylation of 10 provided 13, which could be converted to the corresponding $\mathrm{C}-2$ amide $\mathbf{1 4}$ in good yield. Quinoline 10 on reaction with MeI and NaH in DMF yielded the corresponding $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylated quinoline 15 in $80 \%$ yield, which on treatment with $30 \%$ aq ammonia afforded the corresponding C2 amide 16 in $95 \%$ yield. As outlined in Scheme 3, reaction of the starting material $17^{28}$ with compound 5 resulted in the cyclized intermediate 18 , which was converted to 19 and 20 with ammonium hydroxide in THF. Quinoline 22, obtained by condensation of 21 with 5, reacted with aq $30 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in THF for 24 h to afford the corresponding C2 amide 23 (Scheme 4).

Intermediate $25^{5}$ was obtained by reduction of the starting material 24 with iron and ammonium chloride in aq DMF at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 5). Reaction of 25 with ( $E$ )-dimethyl 4-oxopent-2-enedioate (5) in the presence of $p$-toluenesulfonic

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Ammosamide Analogues 18-20 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) compound $5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temperature ( 30 min ), (2) PTSA, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, reflux $(24 \mathrm{~h})$; (b) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{THF}, 7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(24 \mathrm{~h})$.
acid (PTSA) and cupric acetate in methylene chloride afforded compound 26, which on treatment with NaH in THF at room temperature produced 33. However, reaction of 26 with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ provided the expected quinoline 27 in very high yield. Quinoline 27 on treatment with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ resulted in the formation of corresponding pyrroloquinoline 28, which on methylation with MeI, using NaH in DMF as the base, afforded the $N$-methylpyrroloquinoline compound 29 in $92 \%$ yield. Compound 29 on treatment with $30 \%$ aq ammonia in THF at room temperature for 24 h resulted in corresponding amide compound 30. Deprotonation of amide 30 with $n$-butyllithium in THF, followed by reaction with benzyl bromide, afforded benzylamide 31. However, deprotonation of 30 with sodium hydride in DMF, followed by alkylation with methyl iodide, yielded the corresponding $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylamide 32 . Surprisingly, attempted nitration of the pyrroloquinoline 29 with $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ and

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Ammosamide Analogue $23{ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) compound $5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temperature ( 30 min ), (2) PTSA, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, reflux ( 24 h ); (b) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, THF, room temperature ( 24 h ).
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ afforded ortho-quinone 34 instead, as confirmed by Xray crystallography (see Supporting Information).

The synthesis of the ammosamide analogue 38 is outlined in Scheme 6. Deprotonation of compound $35^{5}$ with sodium hydride in DMF, followed by alkylation with methyl iodide, afforded a mixture of the products $36^{5}$ in $70 \%$ yield and 37 in

Scheme 6. Synthesis of Ammosamide Analogue 38 ${ }^{\text {a }}$



${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{DMF}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $30 \%$ aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, THF $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$.
$15 \%$ yield. The methyl ester 37 was then converted to amide 38 by treatment with ammonia in THF.

Inhibition of Quinone Reductase 2 by Tricyclic Ammosamide Analogues. The QR2 inhibitory activities of the tricyclic ammosamide analogues are summarized in Table 1, while the inhibitory activities of a series of bicyclic compounds

Scheme 5. Synthesis of Ammosamide Analogues 27-34 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
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[^1]Table 1. QR2 Inhibitory Activities of Ammosamide B and Tricyclic Analogues 30-32

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | -R ${ }^{1}$ | - $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | -R ${ }^{3}$ | $-\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | - $\mathrm{R}^{5}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | \% max inhibition |
| 2 (ammosamide B) | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | --Cl | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $0.061 \pm 0.005$ | $90.3 \pm 1.3$ |
| 30 | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | --Cl | -H | -Cl | $-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $5.8 \pm 1.2$ | $46.3 \pm 2.4$ |
| 31 | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -Cl | -H | --Cl | $-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $7.8 \pm 1.2$ | $44.8 \pm 2.0$ |
| 32 | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | - Cl | -H | --Cl | $-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $25.2 \pm 9.4$ | $31.5 \pm 4.6$ |
| 38 | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | -Cl | $-\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | - $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $0.0041 \pm 0.0002$ | $101.9 \pm 1.1$ |

are summarized in Table 2. The lead compound 2, or ammosamide B, was previously shown be a potent QR2 inhibitor with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 61 nM . ${ }^{6}$ Removal of the $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ chlorine atom and substitution of the $R^{2}$ and $R^{4}$ amines with chlorines in analogue 30 decreased the inhibitory potency 95fold. Modification of the $\mathrm{R}^{5}$ group of 30 further reduced the inhibitory potency as observed with analogues 31 and 32. Solubility issues with the dichloro analogues (27, and 30-32) confounded the kinetic studies and are likely the reason complete inhibition (\% max inhibition $<50 \%$ ) of QR2 could not be obtained.

Additional compounds, including 26 and 33, were tested, but none showed inhibitory activity against QR2. The lack of inhibition by these compounds may be due to their absence of structural planarity. The active site of QR2 in general prefers planar, rigid ligands that are capable of stacking with the planar flavin ring system of the FAD cofactor. Therefore, removal of structural planarity in inhibitor analogues tends to destroy this interaction. ${ }^{23}$ Orthoquinone 34 was found to be too reactive with the N -methyldihydronicotinamide ( NMeH ) cofactor in the absence of enzyme and therefore could not be tested as an inhibitor with the QR2 system. The only tricyclic ammosamide analogue that showed improved inhibitory potency compared to that of ammosamide $B$ was 38. Methylation of the amine group at $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ increased the potency toward QR2 around 15 -fold ( 4.1 nM versus 61 nM ).

X-ray Structures of QR2 in Complex with 2 and 38. In an attempt to gain structural insight into the potent inhibition of QR2 by compounds 2 and 38, the X-ray crystal structures of these complexes were determined. QR 2 crystallized in space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ and contained one dimer per asymmetric unit. Complete X-ray data sets were collected and refined to $1.53 \AA$ and $1.50 \AA$ for the complexes containing compounds 2 and 38 , respectively. Strong electron density is observed for compounds 2 and $\mathbf{3 8}$ as well as for a number of water molecules associated with the inhibitors (Figure 1a and b). Both compounds bind to QR2 in identical orientations sitting directly above and interacting with the FAD cofactor. Two direct hydrogen bonds are observed between the primary amide group of the inhibitors ( $\mathrm{R}^{5}$ position) and the amide $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group of Asn161. In addition, both compounds form water-mediated hydrogen bonds to the side chain -OH group of Thr71 via their $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ groups at the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ position. Interestingly, the amide group at the $\mathrm{R}^{5}$ position, the amine group at the $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ position, and the nitrogen in the ring of the inhibitor all form hydrogen bonds with an ordered active site water molecule that is hydrogen bonded to the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Gly174 (not shown). This water molecule is present in the active site of

(C)


-

Figure 1. X-ray crystal structures of ammosamide B (2) and compound 38 in complex with QR2. Ligands are shown in ball and stick representation and are colored according to atom type. Water molecules are shown as solid spheres, and hydrogen bonds are shown in gray dashes $(2.8-3.4 \AA)$. Electron density maps $\left(2 F_{o}-F_{c}\right)$ are contoured to $1.0 \sigma$ and shown in gray mesh. Electron density difference maps $\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}-F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ are contoured to $3.0 \sigma$ and are shown in red mesh. The binding orientation of the ligands are the same in both active sites of the dimer, and therefore for simplicity, only the inhibitors in the A-chain active site are shown. (A) X-ray structure of QR2 in complex with compound 2. (B) X-ray structure of QR2 in complex with compound 38. (C) Superposition of the X-ray structures shown in A and B with the colors of inhibitors conserved. Active site water molecules correspond to compound coloring. $F_{o}-F_{c}$ electron density omit maps for ammosamide B and compound 38 are provided in Supporting Information, Figure S3.

Table 2. QR2 Inhibitory Activities of Bicyclic Ammosamide Analogues


Table 3. Cytotoxicities of Quinoline and Pyrroloquinoline Ammosamide Analogues in Cancer Cell Lines at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Concentration

| growth percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | mean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lung HOP- } \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | colon HCT116 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNS SF- } \\ 539 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{62}^{\text {melanoma UACC- }}$ | ovarian OVCAR-3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { renal } \\ \text { SN12C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { prostate DU- } \\ 145 \end{gathered}$ | breast <br> MCF7 |
| 2 | 101.07 | 96.95 | 101.05 | 88.22 | 100.09 | 115.24 | 98.89 | 114.59 | 98.04 |
| 10 | 105.27 | 108.99 | 108.20 | 117.31 | 101.70 | 106.32 | 102.78 | 108.11 | 105.75 |
| 11 | 104.88 | 104.53 | 100.36 | 99.06 | 97.93 | 109.55 | 99.49 | 108.85 | 100.27 |
| 12 | 104.38 | 109.87 | 98.84 | 104.82 | 102.96 | 110.07 | 102.63 | 105.75 | 97.23 |
| 13 | 109.97 | 112.21 | 103.44 | 109.23 | 112.51 | 124.69 | 109.64 | 112.18 | 100.11 |
| 14 | 103.06 | 103.41 | 104.18 | 107.48 | 108.54 | 120.20 | 109.00 | 124.60 | 89.58 |
| 15 | 104.52 | 114.23 | 104.18 | 100.61 | 109.82 | 115.27 | 113.39 | 138.91 | 78.61 |
| 30 | 103.35 | 105.32 | 107.00 | 104.34 | 90.84 | 108.21 | 101.49 | 97.82 | 96.11 |
| 31 | 104.17 | 113.20 | 103.06 | 97.49 | 101.93 | 103.52 | 107.48 | 104.81 | 95.79 |
| 32 | 108.57 | 118.26 | 102.47 | 101.43 | 110.63 | 126.09 | 106.07 | 127.34 | 122.71 |
| 34 | 105.39 | 110.60 | 104.56 | 106.99 | 96.96 | 123.43 | 102.66 | 112.19 | 94.99 |

${ }^{a}$ Growth percent relative to control (untreated cell cultures). ${ }^{b}$ Mean growth percent in the NCI panel of 60 human cancer cell lines.
unliganded QR2 and is typically displaced by the binding of inhibitors. ${ }^{22}$ The utilization of this water molecule for the formation of a hydrogen bond with QR2 may contribute to the nanomolar potency.

The X-ray structures of QR2 in complex with compounds 2 and 38 are superimposed in Figure 1c to gain structural insight into the improved potency of compound 38 over 2 . The binding orientations of the two inhibitors within the QR2 active site are identical within the coordinate error of the X-ray structures. As a result, we cannot explain structurally why the additional methyl group at the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ position of compound 38 leads to the greater than 10 -fold increase in potency compared to that of compound 2. However, there is one observable difference between the active sites of the two structures. There are 7 highly ordered water molecules observed in the active site of the QR2-compound 2 complex, whereas there are only 5 water molecules observed in the QR2-compound 38 complex. We independently determined three X-ray structures of the QR2-compound 38 complex from different crystals, and all show the same number of water molecules suggesting that the disappearance of the two water molecules for compound 38 is likely not an artifact of soaking or flash-freezing the crystals. Therefore, the loss of the 2 water molecules may provide some
entropic gain for the QR2-compound 38 complex, thereby improving its potency. The importance of active site water molecules in QR2 inhibitor binding has recently been notes for a series of imidazoacridin-6-ones. ${ }^{20}$

Inhibition of Quinone Reductase 2 by Bicyclic Ammosamide Analogues. To further explore the structural space surrounding the ammosamides, we designed and synthesized a series of bicyclic ammosamide derivatives and tested their inhibitory potency against human QR2. The structures of the analogues and their associated inhibitory potencies are summarized in Table 2. A comparison of the activities of a number of the bicyclic compounds documents a regular increase in biological activity when the C-2 methyl ester is converted into a primary amide, and the rest of the structure is constant. Examples of this effect include $\mathbf{1 0}$ vs $\mathbf{1 2}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 3.3 \mathrm{vs}\right.$ $1.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), $\mathbf{1 5}$ vs $16\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 4.0\right.$ vs $1.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), 13 vs 14 ( $>100$ vs 9.0 $\mu \mathrm{M})$, and 22 vs $23\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.24\right.$ vs $\left.0.15 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Similarly, the conversion of a hydrogen of $\mathbf{1 2}$ into a primary amine at the $\mathrm{R}^{6}$ position of 23 results in a 10 -fold increase in activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 1.1\right.$ vs $0.15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and the most potent bicyclic compound. The primary amide substituent of $\mathbf{2 3}$ parallels the substitution of the two most potent tricyclic compounds, 2 and 38. The conversion of the 7 -amino group in $\mathbf{1 0}$ to a dimethylamino
group in $\mathbf{1 5}$ is well tolerated, as observed by their comparable $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 3.3\right.$ vs $\left.4.0 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The addition of a second chlorine at the 8 -position, $\mathbf{1 1}$, as compared to $\mathbf{1 0}$, results in only a slight decrease in potency $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 3.3\right.$ vs $\left.5.6 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The effect of acetylating the 7 -amino group decreases activity as documented by a comparison of 12 vs $\mathbf{1 4}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 1.1\right.$ vs $\left.9.0 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Additionally, acetylation at R7 in compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ drastically decreases activity as compared to compound $10\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ vs $\left.3.3 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Conversion of the methyl ester at C-4 in 19 to the amide in 20 causes only a slight increase in activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 1.8$ vs $1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).

QR2 inhibitors are in general planar aromatic compounds that can stack with the planar flavin moiety of the cofactor in the active site. ${ }^{11-20}$ These structural requirements are similar to those involved in DNA intercalation, and indeed, some QR2 inhibitors have been found to be cytotoxic due to a DNA intercalation mechanism. ${ }^{20}$ In addition, the ammosamides were originally isolated by cytotoxicity-guided (HCT-116) fractionation, further suggesting that the present series of compounds could be cytotoxic. ${ }^{2}$ The compounds were therefore submitted to the NCI panel of 60 human cancer cell lines for cytotoxicity evaluation. ${ }^{29,30}$ As documented in Table 3, all of the compounds were surprisingly noncytotoxic at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. These data are in agreement with results indicating that QR2 inhibitors that do not have off-target effects should generally not be cytotoxic. ${ }^{20}$

The expression levels of QR2 have been documented in both normal and transformed prostate cells. ${ }^{32,33}$ While QR2 is expressed at levels that are below the limits of detection in normal prostate epithelial cells (PrECs), it had robust levels of expression in normal prostate stromal cells (PrSCs). ${ }^{32}$ Furthermore, PrSCs were subject to dose-dependent inhibition of cellular proliferation by the QR2 inhibitor resveratrol. ${ }^{11,18,34}$ However, resveratrol had no effect on PrEC growth. This has led to the hypothesis that QR2 has a role in the control of cellular proliferation by resveratrol in PrSCs. ${ }^{32}$ According to this idea, the lack of toxicity of the ammosamide analogues shown in Table 3 might therefore have something to do with low levels of QR2 expression. This unlikely possibility can be eliminated in the case of DU- 145 prostate cancer cells because QR2 has been detected in significant levels in DU-145 cells, as well as in LNCaP, CWR22Rv1, PC-3, and JCA1 prostate cancer cells. ${ }^{33}$ Furthermore, significant expression levels of QR2 have been documented in all of the other cell lines listed in Table 3 (see Supporting Information, Figure S2). The lowest QR2 expression rate is in HOP-62 cells, while the highest rate is in HCT-116 cells.

In conclusion, a series of ammosamide B analogues were designed, synthesized, and tested for their inhibitory potency against human quinone reductase 2 , but only one analogue was found to have improved potency over the natural product. The simple methylation of the amine at the $R^{4}$ position of 2 producing compound 38 improved potency over 10 -fold. X-ray structural analysis of the QR2 bound with these inhibitors suggests that since no differences are observed in the binding orientations of the inhibitors, that the differences in potencies may potentially be attributed to a difference in entropy produced by binding of fewer water molecules in the active site of the QR2-38 complex. However, further thermodynamic measurements would need to be made in order to quantify any differences.

The present series of QR2 inhibitors are structurally reminiscent of the known QR 2 inhibitors primaquine,
chloroquine, quinacrine, and mefloquine, which are also quinoline derivatives. ${ }^{12,19}$

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures. Melting points were determined in capillary tubes using a Mel-Temp apparatus and are not corrected. Infrared spectra were obtained as films on KBr salt plates except where otherwise specified, using a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR spectrometer, and are baseline corrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 300 or 500 MHz , using Bruker ARX300 or Bruker Avance 500 (TXI 5 mm probe) spectrometers (residual chloroform referenced to 7.25 ppm ) or DMSO- $d_{6}$ (residual DMSO referenced to 2.49 ppm and residual water in DMSO- $d_{6}$ appearing at $3.33 \mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 75 or 125 MHz , using Bruker ARX300 or Bruker Avance 500 (TXI 5 mm probe) spectrometers (residual chloroform referenced to 77.0 ppm ) or DMSO- $d_{6}$ (residual DMSO referenced to 39.5 ppm ). Mass spectral analyses were performed at the Purdue University Campus-Wide Mass Spectrometry Center. ESIMS was performed using a FinniganMAT LCQ Classic mass spectrometer system. EI/CIMS was performed using a Hewlett-Packard Engine or GCQ FinniganMAT mass spectrometer system. Analytical thin-layer chromatography was carried out on Baker-flex silica gel IB2-F plastic-backed TLC plates. Preparative thin-layer chromatography was performed on Analtech silica gel $1500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ glass plates. Compounds were visualized with both short- and long-wavelength UV light. Silica gel flash chromatography was accomplished using 230-400 mesh silica gel. All yields reported refer to yields of isolated compounds. Unless otherwise stated, chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade and used as obtained from commercial sources without further purification. The intensity of the major peak in the analytical HPLC trace of each target compound was $\geq 95 \%$ that of the combined intensities of all of the peaks detected at 254 nm on a reversed-phase C18 HPLC column.

General Procedure for Quinoline Formation. A solution of ( $E$-dimethyl 4 -oxopent-2-enedioate (5) ( 1.2 equiv) in dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) was added to a solution of amine in dichloromethane ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 min. A catalytic amount of PTSA ( 0.1 equiv) was added, and the solution was heated at reflux for 24 h . The reaction mixture was washed 3 times with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and purified by silica gel column chromatography.

General Procedure for Carboxamide Formation. Diester was dissolved in THF ( 20 mL ) and a $30 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution ( 2 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h , by which time all of the ester had been converted to amide. THF was removed on a rotary evaporator, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was washed with water $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated to get the amide in quantitative yield.
Benzyl 3-Amino-5-chlorophenylcarbamate (8). DIPEA ( 0.54 g , $4.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of diamine $7(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 1.40$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 30 min . Benzyl chloroformate $(0.216 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h . The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times$ 20 mL ) and washed with aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The organic layer was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography using hexane-EtOAc 1:1 to afford the product 8 as a white solid $(0.230 \mathrm{~g})$ in $60 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 156-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 7.36-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.72 (br s, 1 H ).

Dimethyl 7-(Benzyloxycarbonylamino)-5-chloroquinoline-2,4-dicarboxylate (9). This compound was prepared using the general procedure for carboxamide formation detailed above: $\mathrm{mp} 123-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) 3366, 2978, 1712, 1702, 1238, 1221, 783, 729, $656 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-$ $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 165.7,165.2,153.4,147.9,141.3,136.4$,
$132.6,130.0,128.5,120.0,127.8,125.5,125.0,118.2,114.5,111.8$, 66.2, 51.5; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $429\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 431$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$, 35, chlorine isotope), 490 (64); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} 428.0775$; found, 428.0779.

Dimethyl 7-Amino-5-chloroquinoline-2,4-dicarboxylate (10). A mixture of CBz-protected amine $9(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-$ C catalyst ( 20 mg ) in $\mathrm{EtOAc}-\mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1)(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was hydrogenated at 30 psi for 1 h . The suspension was filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated and then purified by silica gel column chromatography, with hexane-EtOAc, 6:4 to get the amine $10(0.030 \mathrm{~g})$ in $95 \%$ yield as yellowish solid: mp $153-155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) 3372, 2953, 1724, 1708, 1612, 1259, 1232, 789, 726, $643 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25$ (br s, 2 H ), $4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 166.3,165.6,150.6,148.3,147.6,135.4,126.4,122.4,120.1,118.7$, 109.9, 53.1, 52.7; ESIMS ( $m / z$, relative intensity) $\left.295\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)\right]$, $297\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 33\right.$, chlorine isotope), 233 (62), 260 (51), 282 (49); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 295.0486; found, 295.0480.

Dimethyl 7-Amino-5,8-dichloroquinoline-2,4-dicarboxylate (11). Compound 10 ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.116 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and NCS $(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 0.140 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at room temperature and the reaction mixture heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Ethyl acetate ( 10 mL ) was added to the mixture, and the solution was washed with aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The water layer was extracted again with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and the solution was washed with the aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was evaporated from the combined EtOAc layer, and the residue was purified by column chromatography, eluting with hexaneEtOAc 8:2 to get the dichloro compound $11(0.040 \mathrm{~g})$ in $74 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 195-197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) 3376, 2944, 1728, 1718, 1612, 1246, 1230, $790,733 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 165.9,165.3,148.5$, $147.6,140.1,130.2,130.0,117.4,116.3,113.5,51.5$; ESIMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $463\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 465\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 65\right.$, chlorine isotope $)$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 329.0096; found, 329.0093.

Methyl 7-amino-2-carbamoyl-5-chloroquinoline-4-carboxylate (12). The general procedure above for carboxamide synthesis was followed: $\mathrm{mp} 225-227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) 3356, 2961, 1726, 1713, 1633, 1247, 1242, 756, $725,631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.95$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 2 H ), $3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 168.5,165.3$, $150.7,150.4,149.8,139.0,128.5,121.9,113.3,113.0,106.8,79.1,59.7$, 53.0; ESIMS ( $m / z$, relative intensity) $280\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 35\right), 282\left[\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 14\right.\right.$, chlorine isotope)], 414 (33), 602 (100); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} 279.0411$; found, 279.0421.

Dimethyl 7-Acetamido-5-chloroquinoline-2,4-dicarboxylate (13). Amine 10 ( $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (4 mL ), and then DMAP ( $0.062 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.034$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added at room temperature and the reaction mixture stirred at the same temperature for 2 h . The mixture was neutralized with saturated aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) was added, and the solution was washed with water $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated and purified by column chromatography (EtOAc-hexane 7:3) to get product 13 as white solid $(0.045 \mathrm{~g})$ in $90 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 233-235^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) 2867, 1746, 1723, 1678, 1106, 845, 716, $548 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.04$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $168.2,164.9,164.5,146.9,146.2,140.9,132.3,130.7,118.3,117.8$, 111.4, 52.1, 24.8; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / z$, relative intensity) 337 ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 54$ ), 339 ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 18$, chlorine isotope), 295 (16), 376 (21); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 336.0513; found, 336.0511.

Methyl 7-Acetamido-2-carbamoyl-5-chloroquinoline-4-carboxylate (14). The general procedure above for carboxamide synthesis was followed: mp 240-242 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) 2956, 1745, 1729, 1646, 1243, 843, 789, $569 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 170.6,168.5,166.3$, 150.2, 148.7, 140.3, 139.7, 129.1, 118.7, 52.3, 22.5; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$,
relative intensity) $322\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 324\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 31\right.$, chlorine isotope $)$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 322.0594; found, 322.0596 .

Dimethyl 5-Chloro-7-(dimethylamino)quinoline-2,4-dicarboxylate (15). Amine $10(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.170 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ), and then $\mathrm{NaH}(0.014 \mathrm{~g}, 0.510 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by MeI $(0.160 \mathrm{~g}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added at room temperature and the reaction mixture stirred at the same temperature for 1 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was washed with water $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated and purified by column chromatography (EtOAc-hexane $1: 1$ ) to get the product 15 as yellowish solid $(0.040 \mathrm{~g})$ in $80 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 155-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) 2864, 1734, 1704, 1023, 844, $734,678,546 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 163.4$, 162.8, 145.8, 145.7, 140.3, 131.8, 129.9, 117.4, 116.6, 111.8, 52.6, 41.8; ESIMS ( $m / z$, relative intensity) $323\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 325\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 31\right.$, chlorine isotope), 263 (19), 324 (16); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 323.0799; found, 323.0803 .

Methyl 2-Carbamoyl-5-chloro-7-(dimethylamino)quinoline-4carboxylate (16). Compound 15 was dissolved in THF ( 6 mL ), and a $30 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h , by which time all of the ester had been converted to amide. THF was removed on a rotary evaporator, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was washed with water $(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated to get amide $16(0.020 \mathrm{~g})$ in quantitative yield as yellowish solid: mp $260-262{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3416$, 3186, 1737, 1687, 1612, 1212, 1116, 761, $658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92($ brs, 1 H$), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48$ (brs, 1 H$), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 170.7,163.3,152.4,151.2,141.1$, 130.9, 120.9, 114.7, 107.6, 105.9, 53.6, 40.2; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $308\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 310\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 27\right.$, chlorine isotope $), 248$ (22), 303 (29); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 308.0802; found, 308.0803.

Dimethyl 7-Amino-6-iodoquinoline-2,4-dicarboxylate (18). A solution of ( $E$ )-dimethyl 4-oxopent-2-enedioate ( $0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of 4,6-diiodobenzene-1,3-diamine $17(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 min . A catalytic amount of PTSA $(0.180 \mathrm{~g}, 0.949 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.116 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.632 mmol ) was added, and the solution was heated at reflux for 24 h . The reaction mixture was washed 3 times with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and purified by silica gel column chromatography using hexane-EtOAc 7:3 to get product $18(0.30 \mathrm{mg})$ in $30 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 133-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) 3364, 2943, 1728, 1712, 1643, 1255, 1221, 791, 723, $631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 166.2,165.8$, 149.2, 148.3, 147.9, 134.0, 133.1, 115.1, 87.1, 50.8; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $387\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 386.1405; found, 386.1409 .

7-Amino-6-iodoquinoline-2,4-dicarboxamide (19) and 7-Amino-6-iodo-4-methoxycarbonylquinoline-2-carboxamide (20). Compound 18 was dissolved in THF ( 6 mL ), and a $30 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h in a sealed tube. THF was removed on a rotary evaporator, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(20$ $\mathrm{mL})$ was added, and the mixture was washed with water $(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography using hexane-EtOAc 4:6 to get the diamide product $19(0.020 \mathrm{~g})$ in $20 \%$ yield along with monoamide 20 (0.040) in $40 \%$ yield: $19 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{195-197}$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) 3416, 3186, 1737, 1687, 1612, 1212, 1116, 761, 658 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28$ (br s, 1 H), $8.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 168.4$, 166.0, 150.5, 149.9, 148.4, 141.4, 135.9, 118.5, 112.2, 107.2, 92.4; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $357\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$; HRESIMS calcd for
$\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{IN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 355.9770; found, 355.9774. 20: mp $164-166{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) 3583, 3318, 1724, 1673, 1612, 1490, 1313, 1231, 1196, 1174, $726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.30 (s, 2 H ), $4.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, 125 MHz ) 165.7, 165.6, 150.4, 149.9, 148.8, 135.6, 133.6, 118.4, 115.2, 107.2, 93.5, 52.8; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $372\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} 371.9845$; found, 371.9851.

Dimethyl 6,7-Diamino-5-chloroquinoline-2,4-dicarboxylate (22). A solution of ( $E$ )-dimethyl 4-oxopent-2-enedioate ( $1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 7.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of triamine 21 $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 min . A catalytic amount of PTSA ( $0.180 \mathrm{~g}, 0.949$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.116 \mathrm{~g}, 0.632 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the solution was heated at reflux for 24 h . The reaction mixture was washed 3 times with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and purified by silica gel column chromatography using hexane-EtOAc 1:9 to get product $22(0.5 \mathrm{mg})$ in $25 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 203-205{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.96(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60($ br. s 1 H$), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 169.2,165.0,144.3,142.2,139.4,147.6$, 118.6, 112.6, 55.0; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $310\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$ ], $312\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 35\right.$, chlorine isotope); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 310.0598; found, 310.0598.

Methyl 6,7-Diamino-2-carbamoyl-5-chloroquinoline-4-carboxylate (23). The general procedure above for carboxamide synthesis was followed: $\mathrm{mp} 280-282{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.08$ (s, 1 H ), 7.88 (br. s, 1 H ), 7.26 (s, 1 H ), 5.66 (br. s, 1 H ), 4.53 (br s, 4 H), 4.00 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 169.6, 165.9, 144.6, 142.9, 141.1, 137.0, 134.7, 116.2, 113.6, 106.5, 104.7, 52.6; ESIMS ( $m / z$, relative intensity) $295\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 297\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 30\right.$, chlorine isotope $), 317\left[\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}, 17\right)\right], 319\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}, 5\right.$, chlorine isotope $)$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ 317.0417; found, 317.0420.

Dimethyl 5-Amino-6,8-dichloro-4-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroqui-noline-2,4-dicarboxylate (26). A solution of (E)-dimethyl 4-oxopent-2-enedioate (5) ( $1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 6.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) was added to a solution of diamine $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 min . A catalytic amount of PTSA $(0.180 \mathrm{~g}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.2 equiv of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ were added, and the solution was heated at reflux for 24 h . The reaction mixture was washed 3 times with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and purified by silica gel column chromatography using hexane-EtOAc 9:1 to get product $26(0.650 \mathrm{mg})$ in $65 \%$ yield: mp $170-172^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3476$, 2952, 1743, 1022, 879, 743, $546 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 7.18 (s, 1 H ), 5.23 (brs, 1 H ), 4.21 (brs, 2 H$), 4.00$ (d, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5$, $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 175.2,171.6,142.3$, 139.4, 128.0, 109.5, 106.9, 106.6, 79.1, 71.6, 52.5, 52.2, 49.7; EIMS ( $m /$ $z$, relative intensity) $348\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 348.0280; found, 348.0283.

Dimethyl 5-Amino-6,8-dichloroquinoline-2,4-dicarboxylate (27). Compound $26(0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in thionyl chloride ( 8 mL ) and then the reaction mixture was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , after which thionyl chloride was removed on a rotary evaporator, ethyl acetate $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution washed with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated to get product 27 as reddish solid $(0.810 \mathrm{~g})$ in $95 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 313-315{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) 3420, 2924, 1740, $1204,845,622 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ESIMS $(\mathrm{m} / z$, relative intensity) $351\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}, 100\right), 353\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}, 59\right.$, chlorine isotope $), 253$ (73), 255 (53); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na} 350.9915$; found, 350.9917.

Methyl 6,8-Dichloro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyrrolo[4,3,2-d,e]-quinoline-4-carboxylate (28). Compound 27 ( $0.810 \mathrm{~g}, 2.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and excess $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was kept aside for 24 h at room temperature, by which time an undissolved solid was formed. The solid was filtered off and dried to get product 28 as a dark yellowish solid $(0.621 \mathrm{~g})$ in $85 \%$ yield, and the crude product was used as such
for the next reaction: $\mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3177,2348,1717,1635$, 1449, 1349, 1279, 1227, 1163, 1123, 1073, 1051, 740, 670, $524 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99$ (s, 1 H), 4.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) 168.4, 164.7, $158.8,158.5,158.2,157.9,118.5,116.2,113.9,11.6 ; \operatorname{EIMS}(m / z$, relative intensity) $296\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 295.9755; found, 295.9759.

Methyl 6,8-Dichloro-1-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyrrolo[4,3,2-d,e]quinoline-4-carboxylate (29). A solution of 28 ( $0.621 \mathrm{~g}, 2.09$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then $\mathrm{NaH}(0.160 \mathrm{~g}$, $4.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. After the addition of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$, a solid came out from solution. Reaction was continued for 1 h , and then the solid was filtered off and washed with water and dried to get compound $29(0.600 \mathrm{~g})$ in $92 \%$ yield as a yellowish solid: mp $278-280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) 2924, 2854, 1718, 1448, 1205, 1025, $736,574 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \operatorname{EIMS}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $311\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 313\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 59\right.$, chlorine isotope)]; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 309.9824; found, 309.9909.

6,8-Dichloro-1-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyrrolo[4,3,2-d,e]-quinoline-4-carboxamide (30). Compound 29 was dissolved in THF $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$, and a $30 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 24 h , by which time all of the ester had been converted to amide. THF was removed on a rotary evaporator, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was washed with water $(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated to get amide $30(0.580 \mathrm{~g})$ in quantitative yield as yellowish solid: mp $288-290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3452$, 3184, 1716, 1245, 1024, 810, 651, $574 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}, 300$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; EI-CIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / z$, relative intensity) $296\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 298$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 62$, chlorine isotope); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 294.9915; found, 294.9919.

N-Benzyl-6,8-dichloro-1-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyrrolo[4,3,2-d,e]quinoline-4-carboxamide (31). Compound 30 ( $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.168$ mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 4 mL ), and then BuLi ( 1.0 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.337 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by $\mathrm{BnBr}(0.034 \mathrm{~g}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture stirred at the same temperature for 3 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was washed with water $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated and purified by column chromatography (EtOAc-hexane 5:5) to afford product 31 as a yellowish solid $(0.030 \mathrm{~g})$ in $65 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 236-238^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) 3397, 1718, 1678, 1529, 1519, 1244, 1023, $575 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) 4.75$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 165.8, 162.9, 153.5, 139.4, 137.8, 135.5, 134.8, 134.4, 128.7, 128.5, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.3, 122.7, 117.8, 113.6, 43.7, 28.5; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} /$ $z$, relative intensity) $386\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 388\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 60\right.$, chlorine isotope); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 386.0463; found, 386.0470 .

6,8-Dichloro-N,N,1-trimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyrrolo[4,3,2-d,e]quinoline-4-carboxamide (32). Compound 30 ( $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.168$ mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ), and then NaH ( $0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 0.675 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $\mathrm{MeI}(0.190 \mathrm{~g}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added at room temperature and the reaction mixture stirred at the same temperature for 1 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was washed with water $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated and purified by column chromatography (EtOAc-hexane 6:4) to get product 32 as a yellowish solid ( 0.040 g ) in $85 \%$ yield: mp $236-238{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) 2840, 1734, 1276, 1023, 865, 726, $547 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.30$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $324\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 60\right), 326\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 38\right.$, chlorine isotope $)$, $346\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}, 13\right)$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 324.0307; found, 324.0304.

Methyl 6,8-Dichloro-2a-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,2,2a,3,4,5-hexahydropyrrolo[4,3,2-d,e]quinoline-4-carboxylate (33). To a stirred solution of compound $26(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous

THF ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature and the reaction mixture stirred for another 15 min and then quenched with aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined ethyl acetate solution was washed with water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the ethyl acetate was evaporated in the rotavapor to get product 33 as a white solid $(0.390$ g ) in $85 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 241-243{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.9,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32$ (dd, $J=3.9,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.14$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESIMS $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\right.$, relative intensity) $339\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}\right.$, 100), $341\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}\right.$, 62, chlorine isotope); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na} 338.9915$; found, 338.9912.

Methyl 6,8-Dichloro-1-methyl-7-nitro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyrrolo-[4,3,2-d,e]quinoline-4-carboxylate (34). Compound 29 (0.1 g, 0.322 mmol ) was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (3 $\mathrm{mL}, 2: 1)$, and then the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The mixture of acids was neutralized with saturated aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, chloroform ( 40 mL ) was added, and the solution was washed with water $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated to get product 34 (structure confirmed by X-ray crystallography) as a yellowish solid ( 0.1 g) in $87 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 223-225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) 2955, 2925, 1727, 1440, 1262, 1217, 1027, 740, $575 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.77$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 173.7, 173.0, 164.2, 163.7, 155.1, 142.5, 142.0, 135.4, 134.4, 134.1, 123.4, 53.8, 29.6; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $307\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$, $309\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 65\right.$, chlorine isotope $), 329\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}, 45\right), 331\left(\mathrm{MNa}^{+}, 30\right)$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na} 328.9941$; found, 328.9944.

8-Amino-7-chloro-1-methyl-6-(methylamino)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyrrolo[4,3,2-d,e]quinoline-4-carboxamide (38). NaH (15 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.308 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.308 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a stirred solution of $35^{5}(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.256 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , quenched with saturated aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and purified by silica gel column chromatography using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (9.4:0.6) to furnish major product $36^{5}(0.056 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ and minor product 37 ( $0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \%$ ) as purple solids. Compound 37 ( 0.012 g , 0.037 mmol ) was dissolved in THF ( 8 mL ), and a $30 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution ( 0.5 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The THF was removed on a rotary evaporator, and the product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(9.0: 1.0)$ to yield product 38 $(0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$ as a purple solid: $\mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) 3357, 3168, 2941, 2835, 1671, 1378, 1154, 595, $473 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23$ (br s 2 H), $3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 165.9, 165.5, 146.5, 140.1, 135.1, 132.0, 131.9, 120.1, 115.0, 112.6, 108.6, 37.0, 30.3; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity) $306\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$, $308\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 31\right.$, chlorine isotope); HRESIMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 306.0758; found, 306.0755.

Steady-State Kinetic Assays and QR2 IC $_{50}$ Value Determination. The enzymatic activity of QR2 was determined using MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] and NMeH as substrates as previously described. ${ }^{10,35}$ Briefly, assays were run in a 96 -well plate with a final assay volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, and the appearance of the reduced form of the MTT substrate, formazan, was monitored at 612 nm . The assay was performed at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a BioTek Synergy H1 Hybride multimode microplate reader. Each assay mixture contained 12 nM QR2, $25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NMeH}$, and $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MTT in a reaction buffer containing $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris, pH 7.5 , and $0.1 \%$ TritonX-100. All reactions were initiated by the addition of QR2. Initial slopes of the reactions ( $\Delta \mathrm{OD} @ 612 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$ ) were measured and were used to calculate the initial rates of the reaction using a value of $11,300 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the molar extinction coefficient of MTT.
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined using the same assay as described above with the addition of inhibitor at varying concentrations. The concentration ranges of inhibitor utilized to derive the final $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values depended on the final potency of the inhibitor. Assays at each concentration of inhibitor were performed in triplicate, and the
average and standard deviations in the rate values were used to determine the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value by calculating the $\%$ inhibition at each inhibitor concentration versus the negative control with zero inhibitor. These data were plotted as the percent inhibition versus inhibitor concentration. All data were fit to the equation: $\% I=\left(\% I_{\max }[1+[I] / I\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ ] using nonlinear regression in the Enzyme Kinetics Module of the program SigmPlot. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\%$ maximal inhibition values are reported along with their standard error in the fitted parameter.

Crystallization and X-ray Structure Determination of QR2 Inhibitor Complexes. QR2 was crystallized using our previously described methods with some modification. ${ }^{10,21,22,35}$ Briefly, the hanging-drop, vapor-diffusion method was used by setting up drops of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of purified $\mathrm{QR} 2(4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ and then adding a series of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots of reservoir solution that contained between 1.3 to 1.7 M ammonium sulfate in 0.1 M Bis-Tris buffer between pH 6.0 to 7.0 , with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, and $12 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FAD}$. Diffraction quality, rod-shaped crystals grew within 1 week with dimensions of approximately $0.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Crystals were transferred from hanging-drops to a $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ drop of an artificial mother-liquor solution prepared with $9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reservoir solution and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ stock solution of inhibitor ( 10 mM in $100 \%$ DMSO). Crystals were allowed to soak for 3 to 24 h . Crystals were retrieved with a nylon loop, which was then swiped through the same artificial mother-liquor solution supplemented with $20 \%$ glycerol. The crystals were then flash-frozen by plunging into liquid nitrogen.

X-ray diffraction data were collected at beamline 21-ID-G at the Life Sciences Collaborative Access Team (LS-CAT) at the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratories. X-ray data sets were collected on a MarMosaic 300 mm CCD detector. QR2inhibitor complexes of compound 2 and compound 38 were processed and scaled using the program HKL2000. ${ }^{36}$ The crystals belonged to the primitive orthorhombic space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. Complete X-ray data sets were obtained for 3 individual crystals of QR2 in complex with compound 38. X-ray data on compound 2 were collected to $1.53 \AA$ ( $1.53-1.56 \AA$ ) where the data in parentheses represent the highest resolution shell for the QR2-compound 2 complex. The overall completeness was $97.0 \%$ (79.2\%), the average $I / \sigma I$ was 31.7 (2.8), and the average mosaicity was $0.17^{\circ}$. Data on the QR2-38 complex were collected to $1.50 \AA(1.50-1.55 \AA)$ resolution. The overall completeness was $99.6 \%$ ( $99.3 \%$ ), the average $I / \sigma I$ was 37.4 (2.7), and the average mosaicity was $0.61^{\circ}$.

Intensities were converted to structure-factor amplitudes by the French and Wilson method using TRUNCATE in the CCP4 program suite. ${ }^{37}$ The initial phases for the model were determined by molecular replacement using the program PHASER in CCP4 using PDB 1SG0 as the search model. ${ }^{11}$ The final structure contained a dimer per asymmetric unit. Molecular library files and coordinates for the inhibitors were built using Sketcher in CCP4. Fourier maps were calculated and visualized using the program Coot, ${ }^{31}$ and the structures were refined using the program Refmac. Water molecules were added manually to $2 F_{o}-F_{c}$ density peaks that were greater than $1.0 \sigma$. Iterative rounds of refinement using Refmac were continued until $R_{\text {work }}$ and $R_{\text {free }}$ values reached their lowest values. At this point, TLS refinement was employed by first submitting the coordinates to the TLS (translation/libration/screw) server ${ }^{38}$ to generate a multigroup TLS model. The resulting TLS groups were visualized using the molecular viewer on the TLS Web site, ${ }^{39}$ and 13 TLS groups were chosen. Two rounds of TLS and restrained refinement ${ }^{40}$ were performed in REFMAC to arrive at the final models that were then validated using MolProbity. ${ }^{41}$ The final model for the QR2compound 2 complex was refined to a value of $16.2 \%$ for $R_{\text {work }}$ and $18.4 \%$ for $R_{\text {free. }}$. The overall average $B$-factor was $14.1 \AA^{2}$ for the protein and $19.8 \AA^{2}$ for the inhibitor. The X-ray structure for the QR2compound 38 complex was refined to $17.9 \%$ for $R_{\text {work }}$ and $19.7 \%$ for $R_{\text {free }}$. The overall average $B$-factor was $15.8 \AA^{2}$ for the protein and 25.8 $\AA^{2}$ for the inhibitor. Electron density maps presented in the figures were calculated using CCP4, and the figures were generated using the program PYMOL.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) (1) compound $5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temperature ( 30 min ), (2) PTSA, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})$, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~h})$; (d) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temperature ( 24 h ); (e) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{DMF}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~h})$; (f) $30 \% \mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{THF}$, room temperature ( 24 h ); (g) BuLi, $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~h})$; (h) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{NaH}$, DMF, room temperature ( 1 h ); (i) NaH, THF, room temperature $(15 \mathrm{~min})$; $(\mathrm{j}) \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, room temperature ( 1 h ).

